

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/599,726	06/23/2000	Shinichi Irisawa	Q59149	9228	
7:	590 05/01/2002				
Sughrue, Mion, Zinn, Macpeak & Seas			EXAMINER		
2100 Pennsylvania avenue n.w. Washington, DC 20037-3202			ROY, SIKHA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2879		
				DATE MAILED: 05/01/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• 4	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/599,726	IRISAWA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sikha Roy	2879			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for R ply	ears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reproved the statutory minimum of thirty vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTIcause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 J	<u>une 2000</u> .				
	is action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	·— ·				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	arriller.				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 25 U.S.C. S	110(-) (-) (5)			
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	i priority under 35 0.5.0. §	119(a)-(d) 01 (1).			
<u> </u>	s have been received				
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But	rity documents have been r				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eceived.			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
<ul><li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li><li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti</li></ul>	• •				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/599,726

Art Unit: 2879

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested:

ARC TUBE CAPABLE OF PREVENTING OCCURRENCE OF LEAK DUE TO CRACKS AND MANUFACTURING METHOD THEREFOR

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U. S. Patent 6,354,900 to Ohshima et al. in view of EP 609477 Jungst et al.

Ohshima et al. disclose (column 4 lines 37-51 Fig.1) an arc tube 2 configured by a quartz glass tube 4 having a discharge space 4a and pinch seal portions formed on two sides of the discharge space. A pair of tungsten electrodes (column 6 lines 59-67, column 7 line1) are pinch sealed by the quartz glass tube such that the leading ends of the electrodes project into the discharge space. The electrode rods and the quartz glass tube engage to each other by means of fine concave and convex portions formed

Application/Control Number: 09/599,726

Art Unit: 2879

on the interfaces therebetween and the contact area between the electrode rods and the quartz glass tube being increased the exfoliation between the electrode and quartz glass tube is prevented thereby causing less occurrence of cracks.

Claim 1 differs from Ohshima et al. in that Ohshima et al. do not exemplify the average roughness of the surface of the tungsten electrodes.

Jungst et al. in analogous art of high pressure lamp disclose (column 7 lines 13-16) the tungsten feed-through having surface roughness about 0.5 - 50µm which includes the range 3µm or smaller as claimed. It is to be noted (column 4 lines 35-40) that this small value of surface roughness of the electrode helps sintering the electrode with the quartz body without cracks being formed and hence enhancing reliable long-time gas-tightness.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to specify the average surface roughness of the tungsten electrodes of Ohshima et al as taught by Jungst et al. This provides the benefit of sealing the electrode with quartz body without cracks being formed and hence enhancing reliable long-time gas-tightness.

Claim 4 recites the same limitations as of claim 1 and hence is rejected for the same reason.

Referring to claim 3, the method of manufacturing the arc tube recites the limitations same as claim1 and the temperature of pinch-sealing thereby forming the pinch seals. Ohshima et al. disclose (column 5 lines 25-32) pinch-sealing is performed

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/599,726

Art Unit: 2879

when electrode rod and quartz glass tube are sintered at a temperature range of 2000°C to 2300°C forming the pinch-seals.

Claim 5 recites the same limitations as of claim 3 and hence is rejected for the same reason.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,354,900 to Ohshima et al. in view of EP 609477 Jungst et al. and further in view of JP 07226185 to Masashi.

Regarding claim 2 Ohshima et al. and Jungst et al. do not disclose tungsten electrode subjected to electrolytic polishing process.

Masashi in relevant art of discharge lamps discloses (please see the Abstract and Constitution) the peripheral surface of tungsten bar is polished to provide a smooth outer peripheral surface. It is further disclosed that the polishing finish uniformizes the recesses and projections on the surface of the electrode helping firmly fix the electrode in the prescribed position.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the tungsten electrode rods of Ohshima et al. and Jungst et al. undergoing polishing in order to reduce the recesses and projections formed on the surface of the electrode and hence firmly fix the position.

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 2879

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following prior art references are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to manufacturing high pressure discharge lamps.

- U. S. Patent 4,910,430 to Ito et al.
- U. S. Patent 5,877,591 to Nagata et al.
- U. S. Patent 6,249,086 to Honda et al.
- U. S. Patent 6,342,764 to Nishimura et al.
- JP 11067153 A to Irisawa et al.

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sikha Roy whose telephone number is (703) 308-2826. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 a.m. – 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar D. Patel can be reached on (703) 305-4794. The fax phone number for the organization is (703) 308-7382.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

S.P.

Sikha Roy Patent Examiner Art Unit 2879

> ÁSHOK PATEL PRIMARY EXAMINER